## SINGLETREE DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES JUNE 11, 2009

A Regular Meeting of the Singletree Design Review Committee was held on Thursday, June 11, 2009, at 8:30 a.m., at the Singletree Community Center, Edwards, Colorado.

The members present were: Charlie Dolan, George Haller, Larry Rogers and Karen Woody. The Architectural Consultant, John Perkins, and the Community Manager, Eileen Jacobs were also in attendance.

**MEETING MINUTES** – The Committee reviewed the May 14, 2009 meeting minutes. By motion duly made and seconded, it was unanimously

**RESOLVED** to approve the May 14, 2009 meeting minutes as submitted.

McCready Residence	Lot 57, Blk. 2, Flg. 3	<b>Pet Enclosure</b>
	0720 June Creek Road	

Jim Metzger, a potential renter for the property, presented plans to install a pet enclosure to the Committee for their review and the following matters were noted:

- a. The split rail fence is not to exceed 48" in height.
- b. A written letter from the property owner granting approval is required.
- c. The fence must be located within the setbacks.

Mr. Haller made a motion to approve the plans to install the split rail fence subject to the conditions outlined above. By motion duly made and seconded by Ms. Woody, it was unanimously

**RESOLVED** to approve the pet enclosure subject to the conditions outlined above.

Mission Ridge Townhomes	Lot 30, Blk. 3, Flg 2	Landscape Plan
_	<b>19 Mission Place</b>	_

Bill Whittaker and Pat Doherty presented the landscape improvement plan to the Committee for their review. Ms. Woody made a motion to approve the landscape plan as submitted. By motion duly made and seconded by Mr. Haller, it was unanimously

**RESOLVED** to approve the landscape plan as submitted.

Haller Residence	Lot 10, Blk. 4, Flg. 4	<b>Trim Color Change</b>
	590 Winslow Road	

Mr. Haller presented the trim color change to the Committee for their review and the following was noted: Benjamin Moore #700 Enchanted Forest or the Benjamin Moore #HC124 Coldwell Green. Ms. Woody made a motion to approve both colors as submitted. By motion duly made and seconded by Mr. Rogers, it was unanimously

**RESOLVED** to approve either color as submitted.

<b>DISCUSSION ITEMS:</b>
Benda Duplex

Lot 17, Blk. 2, Flg. 3 0963 June Creek Road **Unapproved Roof** 

## Singletree DRC Meeting 6/11/09 Page 2 of 2

Barbara Benda, and the adjoining property owners, Mr. and Ms. Jacobs, were in attendance to discuss the unapproved roofing material. Ms. Benda stated that due to financial issues, the roof could not be replaced and did not offer an alternative solution. After discussion, Ms. Benda stated that she would like the opportunity to discuss the matter with the SPOA Board.

On July 24, 2008, Ms. Benda was in attendance at the DRC meeting to retroactively request approval of the roofing material. At that time the Committee denied the applicants request for the following reasons: the color and finish of the material did not meet the DRC Guidelines, a signed, notarized letter from the adjoining property owners was not submitted, and the duplex structure and the installation of the roof material did not contribute to a unified architectural appearance. After that meeting a letter was sent to Ms. Benda denying her retroactive request and informed her of the SPOA appeal process.

The Committee at this time reiterates it's denial of the metal roof.

Harris Residence	Lot 15, Blk. 2, Flg. 4	Front Entry Door
	0040 Honda Drive	Change Request

The Applicant did not appear. John Perkins, the DRC Architectural Consultant, presented a request on behalf of the Applicant to change the front entry door as originally approved to a single flat panel door with side lights. The DRC members questioned the profiles of the panel, stiles and rails and the elimination of the radius of the originally approved door.

After discussion and reviewing the approved plans, the DRC determined that the requested change in the entry door was not appropriate. There are radius elements on each of the garage doors and the originally approved door maintained that architectural continuity. Additionally, the proposed entry door significantly altered the architectural composition of one of the main elevations of the home. Ms. Woody made a motion to deny the request to change the front entry door as originally approved to the door depicted by the submitted drawing. By motion duly made and seconded by Mr. Haller, it was unanimously

**RESOLVED** to deny the request to change the front door as originally approved to the door depicted by the submitted drawing.

STAFF APPROVAL		
Fox Residence	Lot 7B, Blk. 3, Flg. 3	<b>Replace wood siding with Stucco</b>
	260 Singletree Road	

ADJOURNMENT – There being no further business to come before the Committee, it was unanimously

**RESOLVED** to adjourn the Regular Meeting of the Singletree Design Review Committee at 10:30 a.m.